## Largest Hypersphere of Stability for Polynomials with Perturbed Coefficients

Karim Q. Chaid\* and Kai S. Yeung† University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, Texas 76019

#### Introduction

HIS study is a continuation of an earlier study<sup>1</sup> in which a method for finding the radius of the largest hypersphere of stability for a nominally stable system in the parameter space was introduced. Closed-form solutions to find such a radius for a second-order system were reported. In this Note we intend to apply the same method used in Ref. 1, i.e., the Lagrange multiplier approach, to Hurwitz polynomials under coefficient perturbations to obtain closed-form solutions for third- and fourth-order polynomials.

Consider the characteristic equation of a nominally stable linear system

$$f(s) = s^n + b_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \cdots + b_1 s + b_0 \tag{1}$$

in which some or all of its coefficients  $b_i$  are subject to perturbation. Finding bounds on the perturbing coefficient of Eq. (1) is an important step for the analysis and design of many robust controllers. By a robust control system we mean that the control system remains stable for uncertain but bounded parameter variations. There are many approaches to the problem of finding perturbation bounds for Hurwitz polynomials. One approach, which is numerical in nature, requires the global minimization of a rational function.<sup>2</sup> Other approaches consider tests for a priori given perturbation intervals, e.g., the Kharitonov theorem.<sup>3,4</sup> The time-domain approach that is based on Lyapunov theory<sup>5-7</sup> gives conservative measures for bounds on individual parameters as well as conservative spectral norms of the system perturbation matrix.8 In this Note we will use the Lagrange multiplier approach to obtain closedform solutions for calculating the largest hypersphere of stability for third- and fourth-order Hurwitz polynomials. In the next section a brief description of the Lagrange multiplier approach is outlined. The main results will then follow. Illustrative examples are considered.

#### **Preliminaries**

If we start with the system description given in Eq. (1), based on Jury and Pavlidis's result, the critical conditions for stability limits are given by

$$b_0 = 0 (2a)$$

and

$$\Delta_{n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{n-1} & b_{n-3} & b_{n-5} & b_{n-7} & \cdot & 0 \\ 1 & b_{n-2} & b_{n-4} & b_{n-6} & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & b_{n-1} & b_{n-3} & b_{n-5} & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & b_n & b_{n-2} & b_{n-4} & \cdot & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdot & b_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & b_1 \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad n > 1 \text{ (2b)}$$

where  $\Delta_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1)\times (n-1)}$ . These two critical conditions for stability limits (2) can be formulated as two boundary hypersurfaces in the coefficients' space as

$$b_0 \equiv h_1 = 0 \tag{3a}$$

$$\Delta_{n-2} \equiv h_2(b_0, b_1, \cdots, b_{n-1}) = 0$$
 (3b)

They separate the coefficients' space into stable and unstable regions. By finding the shortest distance from the stable nominal point to the respective boundary hypersurfaces, the largest hypersphere centered at the nominal point is found in which coefficients of the characteristic equation can vary without affecting system stability. The squared distance  $R^2$  from the nominal point to any point on a boundary hypersurface is given by

$$R^{2}(b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-1}) = (b_{0} - \alpha)^{2} + (b_{1} - \beta)^{2} + \cdots + (b_{n-1} - \zeta)^{2}$$
(4)

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , ...,  $\zeta$  are the nominal values of Eq. (1). With the Lagrange multiplier approach, Eq. (4) can be minimized under constraints (3) by minimizing, separately,

$$W_1(b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}, \lambda_1) = R^2 + \lambda_1 h_1$$
 (5a)

$$W_2(b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}, \lambda_2) = R^2 + \lambda_2 h_2$$
 (5b)

where  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are the Lagrange multipliers. The points on the first and second boundary surfaces that are closest to the nominal point must satisfy the following two sets of equations, respectively:

$$\frac{\partial W_1}{\partial b_0} = 0 \\
\frac{\partial W_1}{\partial b_1} = 0 \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\partial W_1}{\partial b_{n-1}} = 0 \\
\frac{\partial W_1}{\partial \lambda_1} = 0$$
(6a)

$$\partial W_2/\partial b_0 = 0$$

$$\partial W_2/\partial b_1 = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\partial W_2/\partial b_{n-1} = 0$$

$$\partial W_2/\partial \lambda_2 = 0$$
(6b)

Solving for all roots of Eqs. (6a) and (6b), respectively, and examining these, in turn, yield the minimum squared distances  $W_{1,\min}$  and  $W_{2,\min}$  from the nominal point to the first and second boundary hypersurfaces, respectively. [In general, the Lagrange multiplier method applies to cases in which the coefficients of Eq. (1) can depend on each other. Here we restrict ourselves to the case of independently varying coefficients.] If  $b_0$  is a perturbing coefficient, then Eq. (6a) is readily evaluated as  $W_{1,\min} = \alpha^2$  and the squared radius of the largest hypersphere of all stable points is given by

$$R_m^2 = \min[\alpha^2, W_{2,\min}] \tag{7}$$

Otherwise, for nonperturbing  $b_0$ , we have only  $W_2$  to consider and

$$R_m^2 = W_{2,\min} \tag{8}$$

Received July 19, 1991; revision received June 3, 1992; accepted for publication July 10, 1992. Copyright © 1992 by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc. All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup>Adjunct Professor, Electrical Engineering Department, P.O. Box 19016.

<sup>†</sup>Professor, Electrical Engineering Department, P.O. Box 19016.

Consequently, the coefficients of Eq. (1) can be perturbed without affecting stability as long as

$$R_m^2 > \Delta b_0^2 + \Delta b_1^2 + \dots + \Delta b_{n-1}^2$$
 (9)

which could be used to obtain bounds on  $b_0$ ,  $b_1$ , ...,  $b_{n-1}$ . Obtaining bounds on the individual coefficients of Eq. (1) is referred to as highly structured perturbation. On the other hand, obtaining bounds on some norm of perturbations with no knowledge of the bounds of individual coefficients is referred to as weakly structured perturbation. Thus,  $R_m^2$  can be used to obtain bounds for both types of perturbations.

#### Main Results

Even though the method is applicable to any order Hurwitz polynomials, third- and fourth-order polynomials are of particular interest because closed-form solutions can be obtained for them. Moreover, the longitudinal and lateral characteristics of aircraft can be modeled using fourth-order polynomials, e.g., see Ref. 13. For these polynomials, closed-form solutions in terms of the coefficient nominal values, i.e.,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , ...,  $\zeta$ , were derived and are given in this section.

#### Third-Order Polynomials

Consider the third-order Hurwitz polynomial

$$f(s) = s^3 + b_2 s^2 + b_1 s + b_0 (10)$$

where all coefficients vary independently. Application of the outlined method to Eq. (10) yields the largest radius of stability  $R_m$  for all possible perturbations, as given in Table 1.

#### Fourth-Order Polynomials

Consider the fourth-order Hurwitz polynomial

$$f(s) = s^4 + b_3 s^3 + b_2 s^2 + b_1 s + b_0$$
 (11)

with independently varying coefficients. Application of the outlined method yields the bounds that are given in Table 2.

#### **Examples**

#### Example 1

Consider the strictly Hurwitz third-order polynomial used in Ref. 10

$$s^3 + 3s^2 + 3s + 3 = 0$$

When all coefficients are subject to perturbation, the last entry of Table 1 yields

$$W_i = [Z_i/(1-Z_i^2)]\sqrt{Z_i^4 + 16Z_i^2 - 36Z_i + 19}$$

which can be simplified to

$$W_i = -[Z_i/(1+Z_i)]\sqrt{Z_i^2+2Z_i+19}$$

 $Z_i$  are the real solutions of

$$Z^5 + 3Z^4 - 2Z^3 - 15Z^2 + 19Z - 6 = 0$$

These are {0.5845, 1}. Hence,

$$R_m^2 = \min\{9, 2.7942, 5.5\} = 2.7942$$

and polynomial (11) is stable as long as  $(\Delta b_0)^2 + (\Delta b_1)^2 + (\Delta b_2)^2 < 2.7942$ . For the sake of comparison, the largest cube centered at  $\{3,3,3\}$  that is contained in our sphere of radius  $R_m = 1.67$  has a side length of 1.93, whereas the algorithm in Ref. 10 yields a value of 1.26.

#### Example 2

Consider the strictly Hurwitz polynomial used in Ref. 2

$$s^4 + 5s^3 + 8s^2 + 8s + 3 = 0$$

When all coefficients are subject to perturbation, the last row of Table 2 yields the two simultaneous polynomials

$$(8-b_1)b_1 + (5-b_3)b_3 = 0 (12a)$$

$$-(b_3^2+1)b_1^5+8(b_3+1)b_3b_1^4-2(b_3^2+1)b_3^2b_1^3+16b_3^4b_1^2$$

$$-(b_3^2+61)b_3^4b_1+8(b_3+3)b_3^5=0$$
(12b)

which turns out to have three positive real solutions for  $b_1$  and  $b_3$ . Computing the corresponding  $b_0$  and  $b_2$  values, we have  $\{b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3\} = \{(12.1, 6.8, 12.2, 6.3), (116.9, 8.7, 210.1, 2.8), (1382.5, 8.6, 210.1, 1.3)\}$  that give rise to three W values 10.18, 118.64, and 1394.25. Consequently, the radius of the largest sphere is  $R_m = 3$ . [Solutions for Eqs. (12) were obtained using the software package *Mathematica*. <sup>11</sup> For users not having software to solve simultaneous polynomials at their disposal, the Appendix reduces the problem to that of solving a single polynomial in one variable.]

Table 1 Bound formulas of Eq. (10)  $(\Delta_2^0 = \beta \gamma - \alpha; \alpha = \text{nominal } b_0, \beta = \text{nominal } b_1, \text{ and } \gamma = \text{nominal } b_2)$ 

Perturbation coefficient	Bound $R_m^2$ for $f(s) = s^3 + b_2 s^2 + b_1 s + b_0$
$b_0$	$R_m^2 = \min\{\alpha^2, (\Delta_2^0)^2\}$
$b_1$	$R_m^2 = \{(\Delta_2^0/\gamma)^2\}$
$b_2$	$R_m^2 = \{ (\Delta_2^0/\beta)^2 \}$
$b_0, b_1$	$R_m^2 = \min\{\alpha^2, (\Delta_2^0)^2/(1+\gamma^2)\}$
$b_0, b_2$	$R_m^2 = \min\{\alpha^2, (\Delta_2^0)^2/(1+\beta^2)\}$
$b_1, b_2$	$R_m^2 = \min\{W_i^2\}$ , where $W_i = [RZ_i/(1-Z_i^2)] \sqrt{Z_i^2 - 4(\beta\gamma/R^2)Z_i + 1}$ , $R^2 = \beta^2 + \gamma^2$ , and $Z_i$ are real solutions of $\alpha Z^4 - [\beta\gamma + 2\alpha]Z^2 + R^2Z - \Delta_2^0 = 0$
$b_0, b_1, b_2$	$R_m^2 = \min\{\alpha^2, W_i^2\}$ , where $W_i = [Z_i/(1-Z_i^2)] \sqrt{Z_i^4 + (R^2 - 2)Z_i^2 - 4\beta\gamma Z_i + (R^2 + 1)}$ , $R^2 = \beta^2 + \gamma^2$ , and $Z_i$ are real solutions of $Z^5 + \alpha Z^4 - 2Z^3 - (\beta\gamma + 2\alpha)Z^2 + (R^2 + 1)Z - \Delta_2^0 = 0$

Table 2 Bound formulas for Eq. (11)  $(\Delta_3^0 = \beta \gamma \delta - \alpha \delta^2 - \beta^2; \ \alpha = \text{nominal } b_0, \ \beta = \text{nominal } b_1, \ \gamma = \text{nominal } b_2, \ \text{and } \delta = \text{nominal } b_3)$ 

	$(\Delta_3^0 = \beta \gamma \delta - \alpha \delta^2 - \beta^2; \ \alpha = \text{nominal } b_0, \ \beta = \text{nominal } b_1, \ \gamma = \text{nominal } b_2, \ \text{and } \delta = \text{nominal } b_3)$
Perturbation coefficient	Bound $R_m^2$ for $f(s) = s^4 + b_3 s^3 + b_2 s^2 + b_1 s + b_0$
$b_0$	$R_m^2 = \min\{\alpha^2, (\Delta_3^0/\delta)^2\}$
$b_1$	$R_m^2 = \min\{ \left[ \gamma \delta/2 - \beta \pm \delta \sqrt{(\gamma/2)^2 - \alpha} \right]^2 \}$
$b_2$	$R_m^2 = \{ (\Delta_3^0/\beta \delta)^2 \}$
$b_3$	$R_m^2 = \min\{ [(\beta \gamma / 2\alpha) - \delta \pm \beta \sqrt{(\gamma / 2\alpha)^2 - 1}]^2 \}$
$b_0, b_1$	$R_m^2 = \min\{\alpha^2, W_i^2\}$ , where $W_i = [Z_i/(1-2Z_i)]\sqrt{(1-2Z_i)\delta^4 + (\gamma\delta)^2 - 4\beta\gamma\delta + 4\beta^2}$ and $Z_i$ are real solutions of $Z^2 - (1/2)[1 - (\gamma/\delta)^2 - 2\alpha/\delta^2]Z + \Delta_3^0/2\delta^4 = 0$
$b_0, b_2$	$R_m^2 = \min\{\alpha^2, (\Delta_3^0)^2\}$
$b_0, b_3$	$R_m^2 = \min\{\alpha^2, \ W_i^2\}; \ W_i^2 = [(b_{0i} - \alpha)^2 + (b_{3i} - \delta)^2]$ $b_{0i} = (\beta \gamma b_{3i} - \beta^2)/b_{3i}^2, \text{ where } b_{3i} \text{ are the positive real solutions of } b_3^6 - \delta b_3^5 + (\alpha \beta \gamma)b_3^3 - [\gamma^2 + 2\alpha]\beta^2 b_3^2 + 3(\beta^3 \gamma)b_3 - 2\beta^4 = 0$
$b_1, b_2$	$R_{m}^{2} = \min\{W_{i}^{2}\}; W_{i}^{2} = [(b_{1i} - \beta)^{2} + (b_{2i} - \gamma)^{2}]$ $b_{2i} = (\alpha\delta^{2} + b_{1i}^{2})/\delta b_{1i}, \text{ where } b_{1i} \text{ are the positive real solutions of } (\delta^{2} + 1)b_{1}^{4} - \delta[\beta\delta + \gamma]b_{1}^{3} + (\alpha\gamma\delta^{3})b_{1} - \alpha^{2}\delta^{4} = 0$
$b_1$ , $b_3$	$R_m^2 = \min\{ W_i^2 \}; \ W_i^2 = [(b_{1i} - \beta)^2 + (b_{3i} - \delta)^2]$ $b_{1i} = b_{3i} [\gamma(\alpha + 1)b_{3i} - (2\alpha\beta + \delta\gamma)] / \{ [2(1 - \alpha) + \gamma^2]b_{3i} - (2\delta + \beta\gamma) \},$ where $b_{3i}$ are the positive real solutions of $Ab_3^2 + Bb_3 + C = 0$ with $A = -4\alpha + 8\alpha^2 - 4\alpha^3 + \gamma^2 - 6\alpha\gamma^2 + \alpha^2\gamma^2 + \gamma^4$ $B = 8\alpha\delta - 8\delta\alpha^2 + 4\alpha\beta\gamma + 4\alpha^2\beta\gamma - 2\delta\gamma^2 + 6\alpha\gamma^2\delta - \beta\gamma^3 - \alpha\beta\gamma^3 - \delta\gamma^4$ $C = -4\alpha\delta^2 - 4\alpha^2\beta^2 - 4\alpha\beta\gamma\delta + \gamma^2\delta^2 + \alpha\beta^2\gamma^2 + \beta\gamma^3\delta$
$b_2, b_3$	$R_m^2 = \min\{W_i^2\}; \ W_i^2 = [(b_{2i} - \gamma)^2 + b_{3i} - \delta)^2]$ $b_{2i} = (\beta b_{3i}^2 + \alpha^2)/\alpha b_{3i}, \text{ where } b_{3i} \text{ are the positive real solutions of } -(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)b_3^4 + \beta(\alpha\gamma - \beta\delta)b_3^3 - \beta^3\gamma b_3 + \beta^4 = 0$
$b_0, b_1, b_2$	$R_{m}^{2} = \min\{\alpha^{2}, W^{2}\}; W^{2} = [(b_{0} - \alpha)^{2} + (b_{1} - \beta)^{2} + (b_{2} - \gamma)^{2}]$ $b_{0i} = b_{1i}(\delta b_{2i} - b_{1i})/\delta^{2}, b_{2i} = [b_{1i}^{3} + \alpha \delta^{2} b_{1i} + \gamma \delta^{3}]/\delta(b_{1i}^{2} + \delta^{2}),$ where $b_{1i}$ are the positive real solutions of $Ab_{1}^{5} + Bb_{1}^{4} + Cb_{1}^{3} + Db_{1}^{2} + Eb_{1} + F = 0$ with $A = 1 + \delta^{2}, B = -(\gamma + \beta \delta)\delta, C = 2\delta^{2}(1 + \delta^{2}), D = (\alpha \gamma - 3\gamma - 2\beta \delta)\delta^{3}, E = (2\alpha - \alpha^{2} + \gamma^{2} + \delta^{2})\delta^{4}, \text{ and } F = -(\alpha \gamma + \beta \delta)\delta^{5}$
$b_0, b_2, b_3$	$R_m^2 = \min\{\alpha^2, W_i^2\}; \ W_i^2 = [(b_{0i} - \alpha)^2 + (b_{2i} - \gamma)^2 + (b_{3i} - \delta)^2]$ $b_{0i} = (\beta b_{2i} b_{3i} - \beta^2) / b_{3i}^2), \ b_{2i} = [\gamma b_{3i}^3 + \alpha \beta b_{3i}^2 + \beta^3] / [b_{3i} (\beta^2 + b_{3i}^3)],$ where $b_{3i}$ are the positive real solutions of $b_3^8 - \delta b_3^7 + 2\beta^2 b_3^6 + \beta(\alpha \gamma - 2\beta \delta) b_3^5 + \beta^2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - \gamma^2 - 2\alpha) b_3^4 - \beta^3(3\gamma - \beta\delta - \alpha\gamma) b_3^3 - 2\beta^4 b_3^2 + \beta^5 \gamma b_3 - \beta^6 = 0$
$b_0, b_1, b_3$	$R_{m}^{2} = \min\{\alpha^{2}, W_{i}^{2}\}; W_{i}^{2} = [(b_{0i} - \alpha)^{2} + (b_{1i} - \beta)^{2} + (b_{3i} - \delta)^{2}]$ $b_{0i} = [(\gamma b_{3i} - b_{1i})b_{1i}]/b_{3i}^{2}, \text{ where } (b_{3i}, b_{1i}) \text{ are the positive real solutions of}$ ${}^{*} \begin{cases} 2b_{1}^{3} - 3\gamma b_{3}b_{1}^{2} + (2\alpha + \gamma^{2} + b_{3}^{2})b_{3}^{2}b_{1} - (\alpha\gamma + \beta b_{3})b_{3}^{3} = 0 \\ -2(\gamma b_{3} - \beta)b_{1}^{2} + (\gamma^{2}b_{3} + 2\alpha b_{3} + b_{3}^{2} + 2b_{3} + \beta\gamma - 2\delta)b_{3}b_{1} + [\beta b_{3}^{2} + (\alpha - 1)\gamma b_{3} + \gamma\delta]b_{3}^{2} = 0 \end{cases}$
$b_1, b_2, b_3$	$R_m^2 = \min\{W_i^2\}; \ W_i^2 = [(b_{1i} - \beta)^2 + (b_{2i} - \gamma)^2 + (b_{3i} - \delta)^2]$ $b_{2i} = (\alpha b_{3i}^2 - b_{1i}^2)/b_{1i}b_{3i}^2, \text{ where } (b_{3i}, b_{1i}) \text{ are the positive real solutions of}$ $\binom{**}{b_1^4 - \gamma b_3 b_1^3 + (\delta - b_3)b_3^3 b_1^2 + \alpha \gamma b_3^3 b_1 - \alpha^2 b_3^4 = 0}$
$b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3$	$R_m^2 = \min\{\alpha^2, W_i^2\}; \ W_i^2 = [(b_{0i} - \alpha)^2 + (b_{1i} - \beta)^2 + (b_{2i} - \gamma)^2 + (b_{3i} - \delta)^2]$ $b_{0i} = (b_{2i}b_{3i} - b_{1i})b_{1i}/b_{3i}^2, b_{2i} = [b_{1i}^3 + \alpha b_{1i}b_{3i}^2 + \gamma b_{3i}^3]/[b_{3i}(1 + b_{3i}^2)], \text{ where } (b_{3i}, b_{1i}) \text{ are the positive real solutions of } $ $\binom{***}{Ab_1^5 + Bb_1^4 + Cb_1^3 + Db_1^2 + Eb_1 + F} = 0$
	$A = -(b_3^2 + 1), B = (\gamma + \beta b_3)b_3, C = -2(1 + b_3^2)b_3^2, D = (2\beta b_3 + 3\gamma - \alpha \gamma)b_3^3, E = (\alpha^2 - \gamma^2 - 2\alpha - b_3^2)b_3^4, \text{ and } F = (\alpha \gamma + \beta b_3)b_3^5$

#### Conclusion

Closed-form solutions for finding the largest possible hypersphere of stability for third- and fourth-order Hurwitz polynomials are reported. These formulas are very convenient to use and reduce computational effort to a minimum. They can be employed to find bounds under weakly structured perturbations as well as highly structured perturbations.

#### Appendix

The three sets of simultaneous polynomials in Table 2 are reduced to single polynomials that are shown here. Since a special form of the Euclidean algorithm<sup>12</sup> is utilized, the resultant polynomials have more roots than the original two polynomials. These extra roots can be easily identified and eliminated by back substitution in the original polynomials. These equations were derived with the help of Mathematica 11 and verified numerically against their corresponding equations in Table 2.

Equation (\*) can be solved by solving:

$$\begin{array}{l} (\gamma \pmb{b}_3 - 2\beta)(\gamma \pmb{b}_3 - \beta)[\pmb{b}_3^3 + 2(\alpha - \gamma^2 - 1)\pmb{b}_3 + 2(\delta + \beta\gamma)][\pmb{b}_3^7 - \delta \pmb{b}_3^6 + 2(2\alpha + \gamma^2 - 2)\pmb{b}_3^5 + (8\delta - 4\alpha\delta - 9\beta\gamma + \alpha\beta\gamma - 2\delta\gamma^2)\pmb{b}_3^4 + (4 - 8\alpha + 4\alpha^2 + 8\beta^2 - 2\alpha\beta^2 - 4\delta^2 + 9\beta\gamma\delta + 5\gamma^2 - 2\alpha\gamma^2 - \beta^2\gamma^2 + \alpha^2\gamma^2 + \gamma^4)\pmb{b}_3^3 + (16\alpha\delta - 12\delta - 4\alpha^2\delta - 8\beta^2\delta - 6\beta\gamma - 2\alpha^2\beta\gamma - 3\beta^3\gamma - 10\delta\gamma^2 + 2\alpha\delta\gamma^2 - 3\beta\gamma^3 - \alpha\beta\gamma^3 - \delta\gamma^4)\pmb{b}_3^2 + (4\alpha\beta^2 - 2\beta^4 + 12\delta^2 + 12\delta^2 - 8\alpha\delta^2 + 2\beta^2\gamma^2 + 3\alpha\beta^2\gamma^2 + 5\delta^2\gamma^2 - 3\beta\delta\gamma^3 + 12\beta\gamma\delta)\pmb{b}_3 - (2\alpha\beta^3\gamma + 4\alpha\beta^2\delta + 2\beta^2\delta\gamma^2 + 6\beta\gamma\delta^2 + 4\delta^3)] = 0 \end{array}$$

Equation (\*\*) can be solved by solving:

$$\begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{b}_{3}^{8} - 4\delta\boldsymbol{b}_{3}^{7} + 2(3\delta^{2} - \alpha^{2} + 1)\boldsymbol{b}_{3}^{6} + (\beta\gamma - \alpha\beta\gamma - 8\delta - 4\delta^{3} + 4\alpha^{2}\delta)\boldsymbol{b}_{3}^{5} + (1 - 2\alpha^{2} + \alpha^{4} - \beta^{2} + \alpha^{2}\beta^{2} + \gamma^{2} + 2\alpha\gamma^{2} - 3\beta\gamma\delta \\ + 2\alpha\beta\gamma\delta + 12\delta^{2} - 2\alpha^{2}\delta^{2} + \delta^{4})\boldsymbol{b}_{3}^{4} + (-4\delta - \beta\gamma - 3\alpha\beta\gamma - 3\alpha^{2}\beta\gamma - \alpha^{3}\beta\gamma + 4\alpha^{2}\delta + 3\beta^{2}\delta - \alpha^{2}\beta^{2}\delta - 3\gamma^{2}\delta - 4\alpha\gamma^{2}\delta - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2}\delta + 3\beta\gamma\delta^{2} \\ - \alpha\beta\gamma\delta^{2} - 8\delta^{3})\boldsymbol{b}_{3}^{3} + (4\alpha^{2}\beta^{2} - \alpha\beta^{2}\gamma^{2} + 3\beta\gamma\delta + 6\alpha\beta\gamma\delta + 3\alpha^{2}\beta\gamma\delta + 6\delta^{2} - 2\alpha^{2}\delta^{2} - 3\beta^{2}\delta^{2} + 3\gamma^{2}\delta^{2} + 2\alpha\gamma^{2}\delta^{2} - \beta\gamma\delta^{3} + 2\delta^{4})\boldsymbol{b}_{3}^{2} + (\alpha\beta^{3}\gamma + \alpha^{2}\beta^{3}\gamma - 4\alpha^{2}\beta^{2}\delta + \alpha\beta^{2}\gamma^{2}\delta - 3\beta\gamma\delta^{2} - 3\alpha\beta\gamma\delta^{2} - 4\delta^{3} + \beta^{2}\delta^{3} - \gamma^{2}\delta^{3})\boldsymbol{b}_{3} + (\beta\gamma\delta^{3} + \delta^{4} - \alpha\beta^{3}\gamma\delta - \alpha^{2}\beta^{4}) = 0 \end{array}$$

Equation (\*\*\*) can be solved by solving:

$$[\beta b_3^4 + (2\gamma - \alpha\gamma - \beta\delta) b_3^3 + \gamma\delta b_3^2 + \beta(\beta\gamma - 2\gamma) b_3 - \beta^3] [A b_3^5 - \delta A b_3^4 + (2\beta^2 - 4\alpha\beta^2 + 2\alpha^2\beta^2 + 2\beta^4 + \beta^2\gamma^2 - 2\alpha\beta^2\gamma^2 - \alpha^2\beta^2\gamma^2 - 5\beta\gamma\delta + 3\alpha\beta\gamma\delta + \alpha^2\beta\gamma\delta + \alpha^3\beta\gamma\delta - \alpha\beta^3\gamma\delta - 3\beta\gamma^3\delta - \alpha\beta\gamma^3\delta - 2\delta^2 + 4\alpha\delta^2 - 2\alpha^2\delta^2 + 5\beta^2\delta^2 - 2\alpha\beta^2\delta^2 + \alpha^2\beta^2\delta^2 - \gamma^2\delta^2 + 2\alpha\gamma^2\delta^2 + \alpha^2\gamma^2\delta^2 - \beta\gamma\delta^3 + \alpha\beta\gamma\delta^3 + 2\delta^4) b_3^3 + (-3\beta^3\gamma + 2\alpha\beta^3\gamma + \alpha^2\beta^3\gamma + \beta^5\gamma - \beta^3\gamma^3 - 6\beta^2\delta + 12\alpha\beta^2\delta - 6\alpha^2\beta^2\delta - 2\beta^4\delta + 6\alpha\beta^2\gamma^2\delta + 9\beta\gamma\delta^2 - 6\alpha\beta\gamma\delta^2 - 3\alpha^2\beta\gamma\delta^2 + 2\beta^3\gamma\delta^2 + 2\delta^3 - 4\alpha\delta^3 + 2\alpha^2\gamma^3 - 4\beta^2\delta^3 - 2\alpha\gamma^2\delta^3 + \beta\gamma\delta^4 - 2\delta^5) b_3^2 + (2\alpha\beta^4 - \alpha^2\beta^4 - \beta^6 + \beta^4\delta^2 + \alpha\beta^4\delta^2 + 6\beta^3\gamma\delta - 5\alpha\beta^3\gamma\delta + \alpha^2\beta^3\gamma\delta + \beta^3\gamma^3\delta + 6\beta^2\delta^2 - 8\alpha\beta^2\delta^2 + 4\alpha^2\beta^2\delta^2 - 2\beta^4\delta^2 - \beta^2\gamma^2\delta^2 - \alpha\beta^2\gamma^2\delta^2 - 3\beta\gamma\delta^3 + 3\alpha\beta\gamma\delta^3 + \delta^4 - \beta^2\delta^4 + \gamma^2\delta^4) b_3 + (-\alpha\beta^5\gamma - 2\alpha\beta^4\delta + \alpha^2\beta^4\delta - \beta^4\gamma^2\delta - 3\beta^3\gamma\delta^2 + \alpha\beta^3\gamma\delta^2 - 2\beta^2\delta^3 - \beta\gamma\delta^4 - \delta^5)] = 0; A = (1 - 4\alpha + 6\alpha^2 - 4\alpha^3 + \alpha^4 + 2\beta^2 - 4\alpha\beta^2 + 2\alpha^2\beta^2 + \beta^4 + 2\gamma^2 - 4\alpha\gamma^2 + 2\alpha^2\gamma^2 - 2\beta^2\gamma^2 + \gamma^4 - 8\beta\gamma\delta + 8\alpha\beta\gamma\delta - 2\delta^2 + 4\alpha\delta^2 - 2\alpha^2\delta^2 + 2\beta^2\delta^2 + 2\gamma^2\delta^2 + \delta^4)$$

### References

<sup>1</sup>Yeung, K. S., and Chaid, K. Q., "Determination of Largest Hypersphere of Stability Using Lagrange Multipliers," Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics, Vol. 15, No. 3, 1992, pp. 791-794.

<sup>2</sup>Soh, C. B., Berger, C. S., and Dabke, K. P., "On the Stability Properties of Polynomials with Perturbed Coefficients," IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, Vol. AC-30, No. 10, 1985, pp. 1033-1036.

<sup>3</sup>Kharitonov, V. L., "Asymptotic Stability of an Equilibrium Position of a Family of Systems of Linear Differential Equations," Differential Equations, Vol. 14, No. 11, 1978, pp. 1483-1485.

<sup>4</sup>Anderson, B. D. D., Jury, E. I., and Mansour, M., "On Robust Hurwitz Polynomials," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*,

Vol. AC-32, No. 10, 1987, pp. 909-913.

<sup>5</sup>Patel, R. V., and Toda, M., "Quantitative Measure of Robustness for Multivariable Systems," *Proceedings of the Joint Automatic Con*trol Conference (San Francisco, CA), 1980, pp. TP8-A.

<sup>6</sup>Yedavalli, R. K., "Improved Measures of Stability Robustness for Linear State Space Models," IEEE Transactions on Automatic Con-

trol, Vol. AC-30, No. 6, 1985, pp. 577-579.

<sup>7</sup>Yedavalli, R. K., "Perturbation Bounds for Robust Stability in Linear State Space Models," International Journal of Control, Vol. 42, No. 6, 1985, pp. 1507-1517.

<sup>8</sup>Juang, Y., Kuo, T., and Hsu, C., "Stability Robustness Analysis for State Space Models," *Proceedings of 25th Conference on Decision* 

and Control (Athens, Greece), Dec. 1986, pp. 745-750.

<sup>9</sup>Jury, E. I., and Pavilidis, T., "Stability and Aperiodicity Constraints for System Design," *IEEE Transactions on Circuit Theory*, March 1963, pp. 137-141.

<sup>10</sup>Horisberger, H. P., and Belanger, P. R., "Regulators for Linear Time Invariant Plants with Uncertain Parameters," IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, Oct. 1976, pp. 705-708.

11 Wolfram, S., Mathematica: A System for Doing Mathematics by Computer, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1988.

<sup>12</sup>Bocher, M., Introduction to Higher Algebra, Dover, New York,

<sup>13</sup>Nelson, R. C., Flight Stability and Automatic Control, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1989.

# **New Analytical Solutions for Proportional Navigation**

M. N. Rao\*

Defence Research and Development Laboratory, Hyderabad, 500 258, India

#### I. Introduction

P ROPORTIONAL navigation (PN) is accepted as a celebrated midenes law for the property of the brated guidance law for many guided missile applications like the surface-to-air, air-to-air, and air-to-surface missile encounters, standoff weapon delivery, and space rendezvous. In earlier studies, the guided point (pursuer) is assumed to move toward a target point in a plane containing the velocity vectors of the two points.1 The PN strategy is defined such that the velocity vector (heading) of the pursuer is turned at a rate proportional to the rotation rate of the the line joining the pursuer and target which is the line of sight (LOS). The PN principle helps to estimate the magnitude of the lateral acceleration as a function of LOS turn rate. Three different types of PN have been defined: pure proportional navigation (PPN), true proportional navigation (TPN), and generalized true proportional navigation (GTPN) (see Fig. 1).7

All cases of PN are described by highly nonlinear equations of motion. Currently, there is a great deal of interest in obtain-

Received Jan. 29, 1991; revision received June 24, 1992; accepted for publication June 30, 1992. Copyright © 1993 by M. N. Rao. Published by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc., with permission.

<sup>\*</sup>Retired Scientist.